

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,905	11/13/2003		Charles R. Stomberg	P-20003.00	9463	
27581	7590	12/11/2006	J	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MEDTRON			LUSTUSKY, SARA			
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,				3735		
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/712,905	STOMBERG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Sara Lustusky	3735					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ' lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	<u>_</u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-2,4-11,13-20,22-23</u> is/are rejected.	i) Claim(s) <u>1-2,4-11,13-20,22-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3.12,21 is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>3,12,21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
· ·		ed.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03, 5/11/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
Taper Hota/Histon Date 1111000, 011100.	-, <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/712,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5), because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: (11) as seen in Figure 1, (600) as seen in Figure 6, (760) as seen in Figure 7, (800) as seen in Figure 8, (835) as seen in Figure 9 and (895) as seen in Figure 10. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 3. The recitation "corrected data correlated to" in line 8 should read - corrected data correlates to -. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3735

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoyama et al. (US 5921938 A).
- 6. Aoyama et al. teaches an apparatus comprising:
 - a. communication means capable of communicating with and receiving time data from an implantable medical device, measuring means capable of determining an amount of drift or lost time in the time data relative to a reference time, and correction means capable of correcting the data by removing the drift, which may be a positive or negative time difference, so that the corrected data correlates to the reference time (as described in lines 1-12 of the abstract and in claims 1-2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15 and 26).
- 7. Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacDuff et al. (US 6041257 A).
- 8. MacDuff et al. teaches an apparatus comprising:
 - a. communication means capable of communicating with and receiving time data from an implantable medical device, measuring means capable of determining an amount of drift or lost time in the time data relative to a reference time, and correction means capable of correcting the data by removing the drift or lost time so that the corrected data correlates to the reference time (as

Art Unit: 3735

described in lines 45-62 of column 3 and in lines 17-34 and 41-45 of column 6); wherein the apparatus is capable of simultaneously synchronizing time data from multiple implantable medical device to the reference time (as described in lines 20-22 of column 13 and in lines 8-21 of column 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 13, 15-16, 18-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al. (US 5921938 A) in view of Goedeke (US 5904708 A).
- 11. Aoyama et al. teaches a method comprising:
 - a. detecting a first time output from the clock of an implantable medical device at a first time, detecting a first time output from a reference clock at the first time; detecting a second time output from the clock of the implantable medical device at a second time, detecting a second time output from the reference clock; and calculating the drift based on the difference between the second time output from the clock of the implantable medical device and the second time output from the reference clock (as described in claims 1-2, 5, 6, 8, 10-16 and 26);
 - b. generating a correction factor to correct for the drift and correlating time data from the clock to a reference time frame by correcting for the drift (as

Art Unit: 3735

described in lines 6-21 of column 7 and in lines 42-45 of column 8 describing an alternate embodiment);

- c. wherein the time data is received at a programmer and then correlated (as described in lines 25-37 of column 7);
- d. programming the implantable medical device with the correction factor (as described in claims 5, 8 and 11);
- e. wherein identifying lost time includes identifying periods of therapy delivery (as described in lines 40-45 of column 1 and in lines 9-15 of column 8);
- f. wherein correcting includes modifying data from the implantable medical device with a positive or negative time difference so that time is added or subtracted from the data temporally proximate wherein the time was lost or gained (as seen in Figures 2B and 3);
- g. wherein the method instructions are contained on a computer readable medium that when executed on an electronic device, causes the electronic device to perform functions according to the instructions (as described in lines 1-63 of column 4 and in lines 26-34 of column 5);
- h. wherein the programmer comprises a communication link communicatively coupleable to a medical device capable of receiving time data from the device, a reference clock providing reference time data, and a calibrating module that receives the device time data and the reference time data, measures drift in the device data and generates a correction factor (as described in lines 6-20 and 31-44 of column 7); and

Art Unit: 3735

- i. wherein the calibrating module is operatively coupled with the communication link so that the correction factor is programmed into the device, wherein the correction factor may be determined by an algorithm indicating a positive or negative time difference (as described in claims 1-2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12-13, 15 and 26).
- 12. While Aoyama et al. teaches that the medical device may be a defibrillator or a monitor having a clock, implantable devices are not expressly taught nor is it expressly taught that the clock is an oscillator.
- 13. Goedeke teaches time synchronization of a clock (138) of an implantable medical device (100) with an external reference clock (as described in lines 52-62 of column 9), wherein the implantable medical device (100) may be a defibrillator (as described by the embodiment in lines 19-26 of column 1). Goedeke further teaches that the clock (138) of the implantable device (100) is an oscillator (138) (as described in lines 28-29 of column 10) (as seen in Figures 1 and 2) connected to a circuit having a programming means for correlating the frequency to a standard time format (as described in lines 49-65 of column 10).
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a method similar to that of Aoyama et al. to synchronize the clock of an implantable medical device similar to the method of Goedeke in order to ensure that the data received from the implantable medical device accurately reflects the sequence of treatment or use of the implantable medical device which directly reflects and/or indicates the health of the patient. Furthermore, electronic clocks comprising crystals or oscillators were commonly used in implantable devices at the time of the invention.

Art Unit: 3735

15. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacDuff et al. (US 6041257 A) in view of Goedeke (US 5904708 A).

- 16. MacDuff et al. teaches an programmer comprising:
 - a. a communication link communicatively coupleable to an implantable medical device capable of receiving time data from the implantable medical device, a reference clock, measuring means capable of determining an amount of drift or lost time in the time data relative to the reference clock time, and a calibrating module that receives the implantable medical device time data and the reference time data, wherein the programmer generates a correction factor (as described in lines 45-62 of column 3 and in lines 17-34 and 41-45 of column 6);
 - b. wherein the calibrating module measures drift based on an algorithm that determines a slope of a divergence between the implantable medical device time data and the reference data (as described in columns 9 and 10) (as seen in Figures 7-13).
- 17. While MacDuff et al. teaches that the medical device may be a device such as a defibrillator (as described in lines 22-25 of column 13), implantable devices are not expressly taught.
- 18. Goedeke teaches time synchronization of a clock (138) of an implantable medical device (100) with an external reference clock (as described in lines 52-62 of column 9), wherein the implantable medical device (100) is a defibrillator (as described by the embodiment in lines 19-26 of column 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,905 Page 8

Art Unit: 3735

19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a method similar to that of MacDuff et al. to synchronize the clock of an implantable medical device similar to the method of Goedeke in order to ensure that the data received from the implantable medical device accurately reflects the sequence of treatment or use of the implantable medical device which directly reflects and/or indicates the health of the patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 20. Claims 3, 12 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 21. Regarding claims 3 and 21, none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests a method or a computer readable medium containing instructions for the executable method comprising measuring drift of a clock within an implantable medical device and generating a correction factor to correct for the drift; wherein measuring comprises detecting a first and second time output from the clock of the implantable medical device at a first and second time, respectively, and detecting a first and second time output from a reference clock at the same first and second respective times; and calculating the drift by determining a slope of a divergence between a first timeline defined between the first and second time for the clock of the implantable medical device and a second timeline defined between the first and second time for the reference clock.

Art Unit: 3735

22. Regarding claim 12, none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests an apparatus for correlating time data from an implantable medical device comprising communication means, measuring means, correction means, and means for determining differences between the time data and the reference time due to time zone variations and means for modifying the time data to eliminate the difference due to time zone variations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Osorio et al. (US 2004/0133390 A1), Mazar (US 2004/0116981 A1) and Frei et al. (US 2004/0152958 A1) teach methods of analyzing transmitted times of medical devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Lustusky whose telephone number is (571) 272 8965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on (571) 272 4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles A. Marmor, II

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3735

St.